



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/190,208	11/13/1998	JIASHU CHEN	CHEN3-1 6397	
75	90 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN			LAO, LUN S	
FARKAS & MANELLI 2000 M STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 700			2643	20
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200363307		DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Advisory Action	09/190,208	CHEN ET AL.			
1	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lun-See Lao	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:		PRIMARY EXAMINI	ER		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/190,208

(03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has further defined the first resolution, integer value, additional fractional delay as first digital resolution, digital integer value, and additional digital fractional delay, respectively, in claim 1 (and similarly amended in claims 7 and 11), which were not claimed before.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Note the final office action for the examiner's position. In particular, regarding the argued second delay module in series with the first delay module, this is met by Matsumoto who teaches an integer delay 40 is in series configuration with a fractional delay 32 or 33, as shown in fig. 4. It is noted that in Matsumoto, the second delay (0.7 ms) is a fraction (0.7/20) of the first delay (20 ms). Regarding the argued "adding" the first and the second delays, this is met by Myers (mixer 168).